





DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/049,161	03/26/1998	GARY SEDMAN CHISHOLM	002240.P015D	5182
75	590 06/03/2002			
MARIA MCCORMAK SORBINO BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S. CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-, <del></del>		3661	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	1			
Advisory Action	09/049,161	CHISHOLM ET AL.	/			
, to the state of	Examiner	Art Unit	P			
	Olga Hernandez	3661	l			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this application of the comment whice a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply In places the applica	y to a Ition in			
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION.  FR 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriation of the final area.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cancell</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	proved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	11 M				
10. Other:	61.	MUU.( L	1			
	SUPER	Liam A. Cuchlinski, Ivisory patent exa Phnology center 3	MINER			

**1**0, 10



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: first the office did not receive a copy of the post card, the office receive a copy with a black box on it. second, the response received by the office was an official response because it is signed by the applicant..